

## **REMARKS**

Applicant has the following response to the Office Action of September 20, 2005.

### **Double Patenting**

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejects Claims 1-52 under 35 USC §101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-52 of US patent [sic application] no. 09/911,156 [now US 6,879,110].

In order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants are amending independent Claims 1, 2, 27, and 28 and canceling Claims 3, 10, 11, 18-26, 29, 36-37, and 44-52 without prejudice or disclaimer.

In particular, Claims 1, 2, 27 and 28 have been amended to recite that the claimed method is for driving a display device comprising a thin film transistor and that the thin film transistor is operated in a saturation region in order to keep the drain current constant with respect to temperature variations. These features are supported by, for example, page 11, lns. 21-23 of the present application. These features do not appear to be recited in the claims of the '110 patent (based on the '156 application).

Therefore, there is no double patenting, and it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

### **Conclusion**


It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and should be allowed.

Please charge our deposit account 50/1039 for any further fee for this amendment.



Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, Ltd.  
200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500

Customer no. 000026568